

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

LEXISNEXIS® A.S. PRATT®

JUNE 2022

EDITOR'S NOTE: NEW RULES?

Victoria Prussen Spears

**WILL WE HAVE NEW RULES FOR CORPORATE BANKRUPTCIES?
RECENTLY PROPOSED LEGISLATION THAT COULD REFORM CHAPTER 11 PRACTICE**

Matt Barr, Lauren Tauro and Furqaan Siddiqui

**NON-PERFORMING LOANS THROUGH THE ESG LOOKING GLASS:
APPLYING ESG CONSIDERATIONS TO THE CREATION OF A NEW TYPE OF
INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITY FOR CREATIVE INVESTORS**

Andrew Petersen and Anna Nolan

**NEW YORK AMENDS CONTACT REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN DELINQUENT
BORROWERS**

Morey Barnes Yost

COUNTRY DANCING IN THE BANKRUPTCY COURT: THE "TEXAS TWO-STEP"

Michael J. Lichtenstein

**IN VACATING PURDUE PHARMA'S CONFIRMATION ORDER, DISTRICT COURT
DETERMINES THAT PLAN'S NONCONSENSUAL THIRD-PARTY RELEASES ARE NOT
STATUTORILY AUTHORIZED**

Jessica Liou and Brian Morganelli

FIFTH AND SIXTH CIRCUITS REJECT INEXCUSABLE LATE FILINGS

Michael L. Cook

**TWO RECENT CHAPTER 15 CASES CLARIFY JUST HOW LOW THE BAR IS FOR
RECOGNITION**

Laura E. Appleby and Kyle R. Kistingner

BANKRUPTCY BRIEFS

Michael R. O'Donnell, Michael Crowley, Desiree McDonald and Kevin Hakansson



LexisNexis

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

VOLUME 18

NUMBER 4

June 2022

| | |
|---|-----|
| Editor's Note: New Rules? Victoria Prussen Spears | 151 |
| Will We Have New Rules for Corporate Bankruptcies? Recently Proposed Legislation That Could Reform Chapter 11 Practice Matt Barr, Lauren Tauro and Furqaan Siddiqui | 154 |
| Non-Performing Loans Through the ESG Looking Glass: Applying ESG Considerations to the Creation of a New Type of Investment Opportunity for Creative Investors Andrew Petersen and Anna Nolan | 163 |
| New York Amends Contact Requirements for Certain Delinquent Borrowers Morey Barnes Yost | 173 |
| Country Dancing in the Bankruptcy Court: The "Texas Two-Step" Michael J. Lichtenstein | 176 |
| In Vacating Purdue Pharma's Confirmation Order, District Court Determines That Plan's Nonconsensual Third-Party Releases Are Not Statutorily Authorized Jessica Liou and Brian Morganelli | 183 |
| Fifth and Sixth Circuits Reject Inexcusable Late Filings Michael L. Cook | 188 |
| Two Recent Chapter 15 Cases Clarify Just How Low the Bar Is for Recognition Laura E. Appleby and Kyle R. Kistingner | 192 |
| Bankruptcy Briefs Michael R. O'Donnell, Michael Crowley, Desiree McDonald and Kevin Hakansson | 195 |

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Ryan D. Kearns, J.D., at 513.257.9021
Email: ryan.kearns@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

Library of Congress Card Number: 80-68780

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7846-1 (print)
ISBN: 978-0-7698-7988-8 (eBook)
ISSN: 1931-6992

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT’S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW [page number] ([year])

Example: Patrick E. Mears, *The Winds of Change Intensify over Europe: Recent European Union Actions Firmly Embrace the “Rescue and Recovery” Culture for Business Recovery*, 10 PRATT’S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW 349 (2014)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SCOTT L. BAENA

Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP

ANDREW P. BROZMAN

Clifford Chance US LLP

MICHAEL L. COOK

Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP

MARK G. DOUGLAS

Jones Day

MARK J. FRIEDMAN

DLA Piper

STUART I. GORDON

Rivkin Radler LLP

PATRICK E. MEARS

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law is published eight times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

Two Recent Chapter 15 Cases Clarify Just How Low the Bar Is for Recognition

*By Laura E. Appleby and Kyle R. Kistingner**

The authors caution creditors wishing to object to actions taken by foreign debtors that the U.S. Bankruptcy Code provides bankruptcy court judges with little discretion in recognizing a foreign proceeding.

Two recent bankruptcy cases have further established the perfunctory nature of a petition to recognize a foreign bankruptcy petition in the United States under Chapter 15 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”). In these recent appellate decisions, both the U.S. Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the Ninth Circuit and the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida found that so long as a foreign debtor meets the minimal requirements for filing a Chapter 15 bankruptcy petition under the Bankruptcy Code, the Chapter 15 petition is a valid filing in U.S. bankruptcy courts.¹

Based upon these recent cases, creditors wishing to object to actions taken by foreign debtors are cautioned that the Bankruptcy Code provides bankruptcy court judges with little discretion in recognizing a foreign proceeding. Every case is different, but concerned creditors may be required to focus on other tools available if a foreign debtor has acted in bad faith in seeking recognition of its proceeding in the United States or if those creditors believe it necessary for a court to dismiss the case.

CHAPTER 15

Chapter 15 of the Bankruptcy Code provides insolvent foreign debtors with a mechanism for dealing with U.S. assets, claimants and other parties of interest while their insolvency proceeds in their home country.² Pursuant to Chapter 15, a foreign creditor must seek recognition of its foreign insolvency proceeding in the United States before receiving the benefits of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States.

* Laura E. Appleby, a partner in the New York office of Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, represents clients in all aspects of complex bankruptcy proceedings, out-of-court restructurings and distressed transactions. Kyle R. Kistingner is an associate in the firm’s New York office. The authors may be contacted at laura.appleby@faegredrinker.com and kyle.kistingner@faegredrinker.com, respectively.

¹ *Samba v. Int’l Petro. Prods. and Additives Co. (In re Black Gold S.A.R.L.)*, 635 B.R. 517 (9th Cir. BAP 2022) (“*Black Gold*”) and *Zawawi v. Diss (In re Zawawi)* (M.D. Fla. Feb. 28, 2022) (“*Zawawi*”).

² All chapter and section references are to the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532.

The Bankruptcy Code, specifically Section 1517(a), is clear in its requirements for bankruptcy court approval of a recognition petition, and requires that for recognition in the United States:

- A foreign insolvency proceeding exists;
- The “foreign representative” applying for recognition is a person or body; and
- The petition meets perfunctory requirements of Section 1515 of the Bankruptcy Code.³

However, if a petition meets these three requirements, a U.S. bankruptcy court may refuse to recognize a proceeding if it would be manifestly contrary to U.S. public policy. Procedural and substantive differences between U.S. bankruptcy law and a foreign country’s insolvency law are not sufficient to permit a bankruptcy court to reject a petition.

RECENT CASE LAW

In two recent cases, *Black Gold* and *Zawawi*, the courts continued in a long line of cases in finding that these Chapter 15 requirements are merely perfunctory and that, although a bankruptcy court may refuse to recognize a proceeding based on public policy, the public policy exception should be involved under only “exceptional circumstances concerning matters of fundamental importance for the United States.”⁴

In fact, in *Black Gold*, the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit found that misconduct or bad faith, standing alone, is insufficient to deny a Chapter 15 petition.⁵ In *Black Gold*, the bankruptcy court had dismissed the underlying Chapter 15 petition, finding that the petition was not a “legitimate use” of Chapter 15, after noting its belief that the real purpose of the petition was to preclude a substantial creditor from recovering on a judgment that it had received base wrongful conduct by the principals of the debtor. Those principals allegedly had transferred assets out of the debtor to themselves to avoid the judgment.⁶ The Ninth Circuit BAP found that the bankruptcy court erred in its analysis and should not have looked beyond the Chapter 15 recognition requirements in determining eligibility.

Similarly, in *Zawawi*, Al Zawawi, who had been adjudged a bankrupt in an English bankruptcy proceeding and objected to a Chapter 15 filing in the

³ 11 U.S.C. § 1517(a).

⁴ *Black Gold*, 635 B.R. at 528.

⁵ *Id.* at 529, 531.

⁶ *Black Gold*, 635 B.R. at 522.

United States by a foreign representative, asserted that in addition to the other Chapter 15 requirements, a threshold requirement is that the debtor must also have property in the United States. The district court found that owning property in the United States is not a prerequisite to a Chapter 15 filing.⁷

In both *Black Gold* and *Zawawi*, the courts determined that so long as a foreign representative has met the filing requirements under Section 1517(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and no provisions in the foreign insolvency law are manifestly counter to U.S. public policy, the bankruptcy court should not look behind the Section 1517(a) filing requirements before recognizing a foreign proceeding.

CONCLUSION

While *Zawawi* and *Black Gold* reinforce the low bar to recognition, recognition is just the entry point to Chapter 15. To gain access to the tools that make Chapter 15 useful—for instance, imposition of the automatic stay under Section 362—the foreign debtor must satisfy the additional Chapter 15 requirements. Bankruptcy courts are not therefore devoid of mechanisms for dealing with bad actors, though the low bar to recognition makes it challenging to keep such actors out of U.S. courts entirely.

⁷ *Zawawi*, *supra*.